arXiv:1608.06393v4 [hep-ph] 29 Oct 2016

Conjugate boundary condition, hidden particles, and gauge-Higgs inflation

Yugo Abe[a1], Yuhei Goto[b2], Yoshiharu Kawamura[b3], and Yasunari Nishikawa[b4]

[a] *Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Shimane University, Matsue 690-8504, Japan*

[a] *Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

[b] *Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan*

Abstract

We propose an idea that hidden particles can be separated according to gauge quantum numbers from the visible ones by the difference of boundary conditions on extra dimensions. We formulate 5-dimensional gauge theories yielding conjugate boundary conditions besides ordinary ones on S^1/Z_2, and examine physical implications concerning hidden particles on an extension of the standard model coexisting different types of boundary conditions. A model with conjugate boundary conditions is applied on a gauge-Higgs inflation scenario.

[1]E-mail: yugo@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp
[2]E-mail: 14st302a@shinshu-u.ac.jp
[3]E-mail: haru@azusa.shinshu-u.ac.jp
[4]E-mail: 15sm210a@shinshu-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

There are several riddles that cannot be solved in the framework of the standard model (SM), but any evidences from new physics such as supersymmetry, compositeness and extra dimensions have not been discovered. Here, the SM means the extended model including the modifications with massive neutrinos.

One of big issues in physics beyond the SM is to disclose the identity of unknown particles such as dark matter and inflaton. Because it is hard to detect such hidden particles directly, they are supposed to interact with the SM particles weakly. A typical example is a scenario based on the Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (WIMP) assumption for dark matter, and possible dark matter candidates are the lightest superparticle (LSP) in a supersymmetric extension of the SM and the lightest Kaluza-Klein mode in an extra-dimensional one.

In this paper, we consider an extreme case that hidden particles are singlets of the SM gauge symmetries and the SM particles are singlets of gauge symmetries in a hidden sector. Here, the hidden sector stands for a sector that contains singlets of the SM gauge group besides graviton. In this case, we have a question "how is such a subtle separation of gauge quantum numbers realized naturally?" We present an idea that *hidden particles can be separated according to gauge quantum numbers from the visible ones by the difference of boundary conditions (BCs) on extra dimensions*, as a possible answer. To illustrate our idea, we construct 5-dimensional (5D) gauge theories yielding conjugate BCs besides ordinary ones on S^1/Z_2, and show that the separation of visible and hidden particles can be realized in gauge interactions using a 5D extension of the SM with an extra $U(1)$ gauge symmetry coexisting different types of BCs. Furthermore, we study models that hidden particles relating to conjugate BCs are identified with dark matter or inflaton.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we formulate a 5D $U(1)$ gauge theory yielding conjugate BCs on S^1/Z_2. We examine physical implications on a theory coexisting different types of BCs in Sect. 3, and apply a model with conjugate BCs on a gauge-Higgs inflation scenario in Sect. 4. In the last section, we give conclusions and discussions.

2 Conjugate boundary condition on S^1/Z_2

The space-time is assumed to be factorized into a product of 4-dimensional (4D) Minkowski space-time M^4 and the orbifold S^1/Z_2, whose coordinates are denoted by x^μ (or x) ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and y ($= x^5$), respectively. The 5D notation x^M ($M = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$) is also used. The S^1/Z_2 is obtained by dividing the circle S^1 (with the identification $y \sim y + 2\pi R$) by the Z_2 transformation $y \to -y$. Then, the point y is identified with $-y$ on S^1/Z_2, and the space is regarded as an interval with length πR (R being the radius of S^1).

In the following, we formulate a 5D $U(1)$ gauge theory with ordinary BCs and that with conjugate BCs, respectively.

2.1 Ordinary boundary condition

For completeness, we explain ordinary BCs using the 5D $U(1)$ gauge theory whose Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathscr{L}_B = (D_M\varphi)^*(D^M\varphi) - m_\varphi^2|\varphi|^2 + \bar{\psi}(i\Gamma^M D_M - m_\psi)\psi - \frac{1}{4}B_{MN}B^{MN}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $D_M = \partial_M - ig_5 q_\varphi B_M$ for a complex scalar field $\varphi = \varphi(x,y)$, $*$ stands for the complex conjugation, $D_M = \partial_M - ig_5 q_\psi B_M$ for a 5D spinor field $\psi = \psi(x,y)$, and m_φ and m_ψ are masses of φ and ψ, respectively. The $\bar{\psi}$ is the Dirac conjugate of ψ defined by $\bar{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger\gamma^0$. The g_5 is a 5D gauge coupling constant, $B_M(= B_M(x,y))$ is a 5D $U(1)$ gauge boson, B_{MN} is the gauge strength defined by $B_{MN} = \partial_M B_N - \partial_N B_M$, and q_φ and q_ψ are $U(1)$ charges of φ and ψ, respectively. The 5D gamma matrices Γ^M are given by

$$\Gamma^\mu = \gamma^\mu, \quad \Gamma^5 = i\gamma^5, \tag{2.2}$$

using 4D gamma matrices γ^μ and $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$, and they satisfy the algebraic relation $\{\Gamma^M, \Gamma^N\} = 2\eta^{MN}$ with $\eta^{MN} = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1,-1)$.

From the requirement that the Lagrangian density should be invariant under the translation $y \to y + 2\pi R$ and the Z_2 transformation $y \to -y$ or it should be a single-valued function on the 5D space-time, the BCs of fields on S^1/Z_2 are determined up to some parameters such as intrinsic Z_2 parities.

Because the derivative ∂_M is invariant under $y \to y + 2\pi R$, D_M should also be invariant. Under $y \to -y$, ∂_M transforms as $\partial_\mu \to \partial_\mu$ and $\partial_5 \to -\partial_5$, and hence D_M is ordinarily supposed to transform as

$$D_\mu \to D_\mu, \quad D_5 \to -D_5. \tag{2.3}$$

Then, the BCs of B_M are determined as

$$B_M(x, y + 2\pi R) = B_M(x,y), \tag{2.4}$$
$$B_\mu(x,-y) = B_\mu(x,y), \quad B_5(x,-y) = -B_5(x,y), \tag{2.5}$$

and B_M are given by the Fourier expansions:

$$B_\mu(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}}B_\mu^{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_\mu^{(n)}(x)\cos\frac{ny}{R}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$B_5(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_5^{(n)}(x)\sin\frac{ny}{R}. \tag{2.7}$$

Note that a zero mode (or a y-independent part) of B_5 is absent.

The BCs of φ and ψ are determined as

$$\varphi(x, y + 2\pi R) = e^{i\beta_\varphi}\varphi(x,y), \quad \varphi(x,-y) = \eta_\varphi\varphi(x,y), \tag{2.8}$$
$$\psi(x, y + 2\pi R) = e^{i\beta_\psi}\psi(x,y), \quad \psi(x,-y) = \eta_\psi i\Gamma^5\psi(x,y), \tag{2.9}$$

where β_φ and β_ψ take 0 or π. The η_φ and η_ψ are the intrinsic Z_2 parity of φ and ψ, respectively, and they take 1 or -1. From (2.8), φ is given by the Fourier expansions:

$$\varphi(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}}\varphi^{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\varphi^{(n)}(x)\cos\frac{ny}{R}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\varphi(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\varphi^{(n)}(x)\sin\frac{ny}{R}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\varphi(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\varphi^{(n)}(x)\cos\frac{\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)y}{R}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\varphi(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\varphi^{(n)}(x)\sin\frac{\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)y}{R} \tag{2.13}$$

for $(\beta_\varphi, \eta_\varphi)$ is $(0,1)$, $(0,-1)$, $(\pi,1)$ and $(\pi,-1)$, respectively. In a similar way, from (2.9), ψ is also given as Fourier expansions. Here, we give only a case with $m_\psi = 0$, $\beta_\psi = 0$ and $\eta_\psi = 1$,

$$\psi_{\rm L}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}}\psi_{\rm L}^{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\psi_{\rm L}^{(n)}(x)\cos\frac{ny}{R}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\psi_{\rm R}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\psi_{\rm R}^{(n)}(x)\sin\frac{ny}{R}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $\psi_{\rm L}$ and $\psi_{\rm R}$ are 2-component spinor fields whose 4D chirality (the eigenvalue of γ^5) is -1 and 1, respectively.

2.2 Conjugate boundary condition

Let us study another BCs using the 5D $U(1)$ gauge theory whose Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathscr{L}_C = (D_M\tilde{\varphi})^*(D^M\tilde{\varphi}) - m_{\tilde{\varphi}}^2|\tilde{\varphi}|^2 + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(i\Gamma^M D_M - m_{\tilde{\psi}})\tilde{\psi} - \frac{1}{4}C_{MN}C^{MN}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $D_M = \partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_M$ for a complex scalar field $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}(x,y)$, $D_M = \partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}C_M$ for a 5D spinor field $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}(x,y)$, and $m_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ and $m_{\tilde{\psi}}$ are masses of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$, respectively. The $\tilde{g}_5$ is a 5D gauge coupling constant, C_M is a 5D $U(1)$ gauge boson, C_{MN} is the gauge strength defined by $C_{MN} = \partial_M C_N - \partial_N C_M$, and $\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ and $\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}$ are $U(1)$ charges of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$, respectively.

Under $y \to y + 2\pi R$, let D_M be invariant. Under $y \to -y$, let D_M transform as

$$D_\mu \to D_\mu^*, \quad D_5 \to -D_5^*. \tag{2.17}$$

Then, the BCs of C_M are determined as

$$C_M(x, y + 2\pi R) = C_M(x,y), \tag{2.18}$$

$$C_\mu(x,-y) = -C_\mu(x,y), \quad C_5(x,-y) = C_5(x,y), \tag{2.19}$$

and C_M are given by the Fourier expansions:

$$C_\mu(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_\mu^{(n)}(x) \sin\frac{ny}{R}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$C_5(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} C_5^{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_5^{(n)}(x) \cos\frac{ny}{R}. \tag{2.21}$$

Note that C_5 has even Z_2 parities, and its zero mode $C_5^{(0)}$ survives and becomes a dynamical field.

The BCs of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are determined as

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x,y+2\pi R) = e^{i\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}}}\tilde{\varphi}(x,y), \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x,-y) = \tilde{\varphi}^*(x,y), \tag{2.22}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(x,y+2\pi R) = e^{i\beta_{\tilde{\psi}}}\tilde{\psi}(x,y), \quad \tilde{\psi}(x,-y) = i\tilde{\psi}^c(x,y), \tag{2.23}$$

where $\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ and $\beta_{\tilde{\psi}}$ are arbitrary real constants and $\tilde{\psi}^c = e^{i\gamma_c}\Gamma^2\tilde{\psi}^*$. The $\tilde{\psi}^c$ corresponds to a charge conjugation of $\tilde{\psi}$ on 4D space-time, and γ_c is an arbitrary real number. A set of BCs (2.18), (2.19), (2.22) and (2.23) corresponds to that in a $U(1)$ case of the orbifold breaking by outer automorphisms [1], and we refer to such BCs relating particles with a representation $\mathbf{R}$ to that with the conjugated one $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ as *conjugate BCs* [2].

We find that the complex scalar field part of $\mathscr{L}_C$ is single-valued from the translation and the Z_2 transformation properties such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_M(x,y))\tilde{\varphi}(x,y) &\to (\partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_M(x,y+2\pi R))\tilde{\varphi}(x,y+2\pi R) \\ &= e^{i\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}}}(\partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_M(x,y))\tilde{\varphi}(x,y)\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_\mu - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_\mu(x,y))\tilde{\varphi}(x,y) &\to (\partial_\mu - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_\mu(x,-y))\tilde{\varphi}(x,-y) \\ &= (\partial_\mu + i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_\mu(x,y))\tilde{\varphi}^*(x,y) \\ &= (D_\mu\tilde{\varphi}(x,y))^*, \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_5 - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_5(x,y))\tilde{\varphi}(x,y) &\to (-\partial_5 - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_5(x,-y))\tilde{\varphi}(x,-y) \\ &= -(\partial_5 + i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}C_5(x,y))\tilde{\varphi}^*(x,y) \\ &= -(D_5\tilde{\varphi}(x,y))^*, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

respectively. From (2.22), $\tilde{\varphi}$ is given by the Fourier expansion:

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}(x) e^{i\frac{2\pi n+\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}}}{2\pi R}y}, \tag{2.27}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}(x)$ are 4D real scalar fields ($\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)*}(x) = \tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}(x)$).

In a similar way, we find that the spinor field part of $\mathscr{L}_C$ is also single-valued from the transformation properties such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x,y)\left\{i\Gamma^M(\partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}C_M(x,y)) - m_{\tilde{\psi}}\right\}\tilde{\psi}(x,y)\\
&\quad\to \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x,y+2\pi R)\left\{i\Gamma^M(\partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}C_M(x,y+2\pi R)) - m_{\tilde{\psi}}\right\}\tilde{\psi}(x,y+2\pi R)\\
&\quad= \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x,y)\left\{i\Gamma^M(\partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}C_M(x,y)) - m_{\tilde{\psi}}\right\}\tilde{\psi}(x,y)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x,y)\left\{i\Gamma^M(\partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}C_M(x,y)) - m_{\tilde{\psi}}\right\}\tilde{\psi}(x,y)\\
&\quad\to \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x,-y)\left\{i\Gamma^M(\partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}C_M(x,-y)) - m_{\tilde{\psi}}\right\}\tilde{\psi}(x,-y)\\
&\quad= \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^c(x,y)\left\{i\Gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}C_\mu(x,y))\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left. -i\Gamma^5(\partial_5 + i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}C_5(x,y)) - m_{\tilde{\psi}}\right\}\tilde{\psi}^c(x,y)\\
&\quad= \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x,y)\left\{i\Gamma^M(\partial_M - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}C_M(x,y)) - m_{\tilde{\psi}}\right\}\tilde{\psi}(x,y),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

where we use the following relations concerning 4-component spinor fields $\tilde{\psi}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^c\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\tilde{\psi}^c = \bar{\tilde{\psi}}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\tilde{\psi},\quad \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^c\gamma^5\partial_5\tilde{\psi}^c = -\bar{\tilde{\psi}}\gamma^5\partial_5\tilde{\psi},\\
&\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^c\gamma^\mu\tilde{\psi}^c = -\bar{\tilde{\psi}}\gamma^\mu\tilde{\psi},\quad \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^c\gamma^5\tilde{\psi}^c = \bar{\tilde{\psi}}\gamma^5\tilde{\psi},\quad \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^c\tilde{\psi}^c = \bar{\tilde{\psi}}\tilde{\psi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

From (2.23), $\tilde{\psi}$ is given by the Fourier expansion:

$$\tilde{\psi}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi R}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\xi}_\alpha^{(n)}(x)\\ i\bar{\tilde{\xi}}^{(n)\dot{\alpha}}(x)\end{pmatrix}e^{i\frac{2\pi n+\beta_{\tilde{\psi}}}{2\pi R}y}, \tag{2.31}$$

where $\tilde{\xi}_\alpha^{(n)}(x)$ are 4D 2-component spinor fields, and α and $\dot{\alpha}$ are spinor indices. Hereafter, we omit the spinor indices.

By inserting the mode expansions (2.27) and (2.31) into the 5D action $S_{5\mathrm{D}} = \int \mathscr{L}_C d^5x$, we obtain the following 4D action:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{4\mathrm{D}} = \int d^4x \Bigg[&-\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}\left\{\Box + \left(\frac{2\pi n+\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}}-q_{\tilde{\varphi}}\theta}{2\pi R}\right)^2 + m_{\tilde{\varphi}}^2\right\}\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}\\
&+\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2}\Bigg\{\tilde{\xi}^{(n)}i\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\tilde{\xi}}^{(n)} + \bar{\tilde{\xi}}^{(n)}i\overline{\sigma}^\mu\partial_\mu\tilde{\xi}^{(n)}\\
&+\left(\frac{2\pi n+\beta_{\tilde{\psi}}-\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}\theta}{2\pi R} + im_{\tilde{\psi}}\right)\tilde{\xi}^{(n)}\tilde{\xi}^{(n)}\\
&+\left(\frac{2\pi n+\beta_{\tilde{\psi}}-\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\psi}}\theta}{2\pi R} - im_{\tilde{\psi}}\right)\bar{\tilde{\xi}}^{(n)}\bar{\tilde{\xi}}^{(n)}\Bigg\}\Bigg] + \cdots,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

where $\sigma^\mu = (I, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$, $\overline{\sigma}^\mu = (I, -\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ ($\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ are Pauli matrices), θ is the Wilson line phase defined by

$$\theta = \tilde{g}_5\int_{-\pi R}^{\pi R}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}}C_5^{(0)}dy = \sqrt{2\pi R}\tilde{g}_5C_5^{(0)}, \tag{2.33}$$

and the ellipsis stands for parts containing Kaluza-Klein modes of gauge bosons and the kinetic term of $C_5^{(0)}$. Note that the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry is broken by orbifolding, and θ is a remnant of the $U(1)$.

3 Why hidden

In order to obtain some hints to explore the origin of dark matter and the identity of inflaton and to address the reason for their existence, we search for an factor that it is hard to detect hidden particles based on the following assumptions.

- There is an extra gauge group $G_{\rm hidden}$ other than the SM one $G_{\rm SM}$ (or some extension such as a grand unified group $G_{\rm GUT}$), and $G_{\rm hidden}$ leaves little trace behind around the terascale.
- Hidden particles such as dark matter and inflaton possess gauge quantum numbers of $G_{\rm hidden}$ or are some components of gauge bosons in a hidden sector, and they are gauge singlets of $G_{\rm SM}$ (or $G_{\rm GUT}$).
- The SM particles are gauge singlets of $G_{\rm hidden}$.

Gauge quantum numbers are suitably assigned to construct a realistic model, but in most cases, it would be done without any foundation except for symmetry principle. We expect a reason or a mechanism that a subtle separation of gauge quantum numbers in the above assumptions is realized naturally, and propose a hypothesis that *hidden particles can be separated according to gauge quantum numbers from the visible ones by the difference of BCs on extra dimensions.*[5]

To embody our hypothesis, we consider a 5D theory with $G_{\rm SM} \times U(1)_C$ gauge group as an extension of the SM with an extra $U(1)$ gauge boson $C_M = C_M(x,y)$ and an extra matter $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}(x,y)$. For simplicity, we pay attention to scalar fields and $U(1)$ gauge bosons and treat the Lagrangian density,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_{\rm 5D} &= (D_M H)^*(D^M H) - m_H^2|H|^2 - \frac{1}{4}B_{MN}B^{MN} \\ &\quad + (D_M\tilde{\varphi})^*(D^M\tilde{\varphi}) - m_{\tilde{\varphi}}^2|\tilde{\varphi}|^2 - \frac{1}{4}C_{MN}C^{MN} \\ &\quad - \lambda\left(|H|^2\right)^2 - \lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}\left(|\tilde{\varphi}|^2\right)^2 - \lambda_{\rm mix}|H|^2|\tilde{\varphi}|^2 + \cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $H = H(x,y)$ is 5D complex scalar field containing the SM Higgs doublet as its zero mode ($H^{(0)}$), and λ, $\lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ and $\lambda_{\rm mix}$ are quartic couplings of scalar fields.

If B_M (the 5D extension of the $U(1)_Y$ gauge boson in the SM) satisfies the BCs such as (2.4) and (2.5) and C_M satisfies the BCs such as (2.18) and (2.19), H and $\tilde{\varphi}$

[5] According to a similar idea that a dark matter possesses different features from the SM particles on extra dimensions, a truncated-inert-doublet model has been constructed that the SM ones belong to Z_2 even zero modes and the dark matter is one of Z_2 odd zero modes on a warped extra dimension [3].

cannot own both non-zero $U(1)$ charges. In other words, H is separated from $\tilde{\varphi}$ in gauge interactions through the difference of BCs.

After the dimensional reduction, we obtain the following 4D Lagrangian density for zero modes $H^{(0)}$, $\tilde{\varphi}^{(0)}$, $B_\mu^{(0)}$ and $C_5^{(0)}$, at the tree level,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_{\rm 4D}^{(0)} &= (D_\mu^{(0)} H^{(0)})^* (D^{(0)\mu} H^{(0)}) - m_H^2 |H^{(0)}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} B^{(0)\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi}^{(0)} \partial^\mu \tilde{\varphi}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{ m_{\tilde{\varphi}}^2 + \left(\frac{\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}} - \tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}\theta}{2\pi R} \right)^2 \right\} (\tilde{\varphi}^{(0)})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu C_5^{(0)} \partial^\mu C_5^{(0)} \\ &- \lambda \left(|H^{(0)}|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\tilde{\varphi}} (\tilde{\varphi}^{(0)})^4 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\rm mix} |H^{(0)}|^2 (\tilde{\varphi}^{(0)})^2 + \cdots , \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where we use the Fourier expansion (2.10) for H and (2.27) for $\tilde{\varphi}$.

As seen from (3.2), $C_5^{(0)}$ is massless at the tree level. After receiving radiative corrections, the effective potential relating to $C_5^{(0)}$ is induced and $C_5^{(0)}$ acquires a mass through the Hosotani mechanism [4, 5]. Concretely, the one-loop effective potential for the Wilson line phase $\theta (= \sqrt{2\pi R}\tilde{g}_5 C_5^{(0)})$ is derived as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\rm eff}[\theta] &= -\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^4 p_{\rm E}}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln \left\{ p_{\rm E}^2 + m_{\tilde{\varphi}}^2 + \left(\frac{2\pi n + \beta_{\tilde{\varphi}} - \tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}\theta}{2\pi R} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= E_0 - \frac{3}{64\pi^6 R^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^5} + \frac{r_{\tilde{\varphi}}}{n^4} + \frac{r_{\tilde{\varphi}}^2}{3n^3} \right) e^{-n r_{\tilde{\varphi}}} \cos\left\{ n \left(\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}} - \tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}\theta \right) \right\} , \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $p_{\rm E}$ is a 4D Euclidean momentum, E_0 is a θ-independent constant and $r_{\tilde{\varphi}} = 2\pi R m_{\tilde{\varphi}}$. The physical vacuum is realized at $\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}} - \tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}\theta = 0$ and $C_5^{(0)}$ decouples in the low-energy theory, if R is small enough, by acquiring the mass of $O(1/R)$.

The scalar field $\tilde{\varphi}^{(0)}(x)$ survives in a post-SM at the terascale for $\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}} - \tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}\theta = 0$ and $m_{\tilde{\varphi}} < O(1)$TeV, and we find that our Lagrangian density agrees with that containing a dark matter in a specific model called the New Minimal Standard Model (NMSM) [6, 7]. Then, $\tilde{\varphi}^{(0)}(x)$ becomes a possible candidate of dark matter.

The $\tilde{\varphi}^{(0)}(x)$ couples to the SM Higgs doublet through the quartic interaction $-(1/2)\lambda_{\rm mix}|H^{(0)}|^2(\tilde{\varphi}^{(0)})^2$. In the presence of this term as the Higgs portal, the running of λ based on the renormalization group equation changes compared with that in the SM, and the vacuum stability of Higgs potential can be improved [7, 8].

Here, as a complementary comment on our hypothesis, we state a feature that *matters are not necessarily classified into the visible ones and the hidden ones, even if a system has two $U(1)$ gauge bosons B_M and C_M with different types of BCs, because there can exist particles that possess both $U(1)$ charges.* Let us show it using a model described by the Lagrangian density,

$$\mathscr{L}_{\tilde{\varphi}_a} = \sum_{a=1,2} \left\{ (D_M \tilde{\varphi}_a)^* (D^M \tilde{\varphi}_a) - m_{\tilde{\varphi}_a}^2 |\tilde{\varphi}_a|^2 \right\} - \frac{1}{4} B_{MN} B^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} C_{MN} C^{MN}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $D_M = \partial_M - i g_5 q_{\tilde{\varphi}_a} B_M - i\tilde{g}_5 \tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}_a} C_M$ for a pair of complex scalar fields $\tilde{\varphi}_a = \tilde{\varphi}_a(x, y)$ $(a = 1, 2)$. In case that $q_{\tilde{\varphi}_1} = q_{\tilde{\varphi}_2}$, $\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}_1} = -\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}_2}$ and $m_{\tilde{\varphi}_1} = m_{\tilde{\varphi}_2}$, $\mathscr{L}_{\tilde{\varphi}_a}$ is a

single-valued function under the BCs (2.4), (2.5), (2.18), (2.19) and

$$\tilde{\varphi}_a(x, y + 2\pi R) = e^{i\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}}}\tilde{\varphi}_a(x, y), \quad \tilde{\varphi}_1(x, -y) = \eta_{\tilde{\varphi}}\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, y), \tag{3.5}$$

where $\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ takes 0 or π and $\eta_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ takes 1 or -1. We refer to the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry concerning the BCs (2.18), (2.19) and (3.5) as an *exotic* $U(1)$ *symmetry* [9,10].[6] Then, we find that $\tilde{\varphi}_a$ own both $U(1)$ gauge quantum numbers. A similar feature holds on a theory containing non-abelian gauge symmetries: matters can possess both gauge quantum numbers whose gauge bosons satisfy different types of BCs if the theory is vector-like.

4 Gauge-higgs inflation

We apply a model with conjugate BCs on a gauge-Higgs inflation scenario. Let us consider a gravity theory coupled to a $U(1)_C$ gauge theory defined on a 5D space-time whose classical background is $M^4 \times S^1/Z_2$. The starting action is given by

$$S_{5\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{gr}} = \int d^5x\sqrt{-\hat{g}_5}\Bigg[\frac{1}{16\pi G_5}\hat{R}_5 - \frac{1}{4}\hat{g}^{MP}\hat{g}^{NL}C_{MN}C_{PL} + \sum_{a=1}^{c_1}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}_a^{\mathrm{n}}(-i\hat{g}^{MN}\hat{\Gamma}_M\nabla_N - \mu_a)\tilde{\psi}_a^{\mathrm{n}} + \sum_{b=1}^{c_2}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}_b^{\mathrm{ch}}(-i\hat{g}^{MN}\hat{\Gamma}_M D_N - m_b)\tilde{\psi}_b^{\mathrm{ch}}\Bigg], \tag{4.1}$$

where $\hat{g}_5 = \det\hat{g}_{MN}$, $\hat{g}^{MN}$ is the inverse of 5D metric $\hat{g}_{MN}$, G_5 is the 5D Newton constant, $\hat{R}_5$ is the 5D Ricci scalar, $C_{MN} = \partial_M C_N - \partial_N C_M$, $\hat{\Gamma}_M = E_M^k\Gamma_k$ ($E_M^k = E_M^k(x, y)$ is the fünf bein, Γ_k are 5D gamma matrices, and k is the space-time index in the local Lorentz frame), $\nabla_N = \partial_N - (i/4)\hat{\omega}_N^{kl}\Sigma_{kl}$ ($\hat{\omega}_N^{kl}$ is the spin connection and $\Sigma_{kl} = i[\Gamma_k, \Gamma_l]/2$), $D_N = \partial_N - (i/4)\hat{\omega}_N^{kl}\Sigma_{kl} - i\tilde{g}_5\tilde{q}_b C_N$ for $\tilde{\psi}_b^{\mathrm{ch}}$, C_N is a 5D $U(1)_C$ gauge boson in the hidden sector and we assume that it satisfies the conjugate BCs (2.18) and (2.19), $\tilde{\psi}_a^{\mathrm{n}}$ are neutral fermions, $\tilde{\psi}_b^{\mathrm{ch}}$ are $U(1)_C$ charged fermions whose $U(1)_C$ charge is $\tilde{q}_b$, and c_1 and c_2 stand for numbers of neutral and charged fermions, respectively. The $\tilde{g}_5$ is a 5D gauge coupling constant.

If the SM gauge bosons satisfy the ordinary BCs such as (2.4) and (2.5) and both $\tilde{\psi}_a^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_b^{\mathrm{ch}}$ satisfy the BCs (2.23) with β_a and β_b as a twisted phase ($\beta_{\tilde{\psi}}$), $\tilde{\psi}_a^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_b^{\mathrm{ch}}$ should be singlets of the SM gauge group, as a consequence in the previous section.

The BCs of $\hat{g}_{MN}$ are given by

$$\hat{g}_{MN}(x, y + 2\pi R) = \hat{g}_{MN}(x, y), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x, -y) = \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x, y), \quad \hat{g}_{\mu 5}(x, -y) = -\hat{g}_{\mu 5}(x, y), \quad \hat{g}_{55}(x, -y) = \hat{g}_{55}(x, y), \tag{4.3}$$

and then the Fourier expansions of $\hat{g}_{MN}$ are presented as

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x, y) = \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x)\cos\frac{ny}{R}, \tag{4.4}$$

[6] The orbifolding due to these BCs is regarded as a variant of the diagonal embedding proposed in [11].

$$\hat{g}_{\mu 5}(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{g}_{\mu 5}^{(n)}(x) \sin\frac{ny}{R} y, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\hat{g}_{55}(x,y) = \hat{g}_{55}^{(0)}(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{g}_{55}^{(n)}(x) \cos\frac{ny}{R}. \tag{4.6}$$

The spin connection $\hat{\omega}_M^{kl}$ satisfy the ordinary BCs such that

$$\hat{\omega}_M^{kl}(x, y+2\pi R) = \hat{\omega}_M^{kl}(x,y), \tag{4.7}$$

$$\hat{\omega}_\mu^{kl}(x,-y) = \hat{\omega}_\mu^{kl}(x,y), \quad \hat{\omega}_5^{kl}(x,-y) = -\hat{\omega}_5^{kl}(x,y), \tag{4.8}$$

and then the full Lagrangian density containing both visible and hidden sectors becomes a single-valued function on S^1/Z_2.

On the Minkowski background, $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ takes the classical value such as $\langle g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} \rangle = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, and other zero modes are assumed to have the following classical values:

$$\langle \hat{g}_{55}^{(0)} \rangle = \phi^{2/3}, \ \langle C_5^{(0)} \rangle = \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2\pi R}\tilde{g}_5}, \tag{4.9}$$

where ϕ is the radion and θ is the Wilson line phase. The Kaluza-Klein modes are assumed to have zero classical values.

According to a usual procedure, the following effective potential is obtained at the one-loop level,

$$V(\rho,\theta) = \frac{3L^2m^6}{2\pi^2\rho^2}\left[-2\zeta(5) + c_1\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^5} + r_m\frac{\rho^{1/3}}{n^4} + r_m^2\frac{\rho^{2/3}}{3n^3}\right)e^{-nr_m\rho^{1/3}}\right.$$
$$\left. + c_2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^5} + \frac{\rho^{1/3}}{n^4} + \frac{\rho^{2/3}}{3n^3}\right)e^{-n\rho^{1/3}}\cos\left\{n\left(\beta - \tilde{q}\theta\right)\right\}\right] + \frac{L^2m}{\rho^{1/3}}\tilde{a} + \cdots, \tag{4.10}$$

where we take common masses $\mu = \mu_a$ and $m = m_b$, a common twisted phase $\beta = \beta_b$ and a common charge $\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}_b$ for simplicity, $L = 2\pi R$, $\rho = L^3m^3\phi$, $\zeta(k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^k$, $r_m = \mu/m$ and $\tilde{a}$ is some constant.

The above potential has a same form as that obtained in [12] except overall factor and β, and hence both radion and Wilson line phase are stabilized in case with $c_1 > 2 + c_2$, and θ is, in particular, fixed as $\beta - \tilde{q}\theta = \pi$. Furthermore, the gauge-Higgs field θ can give rise to inflation in accord with the astrophysical data [13].

We need some modification of our model to explain the origin of the Big Bang after inflaton decays into the SM particles. The direct coupling between inflaton and some SM particles is necessary to produce radiations at a very early universe, but it is difficult due to the mismatch of BCs, as explained in the previous section. As a way out, if some SM particles or its extension form a pair of vector-like multiplet for $U(1)_C$ and satisfy the BCs such as (3.5) or counterparts of fermions, they can directly couple to $C_5^{(0)}$. For instance, if there exist two Higgs doublets H_a as a vector-like pair of $U(1)_C$, there can appear the coupling such as $\tilde{g}_5^2\tilde{q}_H^2|H_a^{(0)}|^2(C_5^{(0)})^2$. In this case, although the contributions from H_a are added to the potential (4.10), θ might remain inflaton because they are not dominated.

5 Conclusions and discussions

We have formulated 5D $U(1)$ gauge theories yielding conjugate BCs besides ordinary ones on S^1/Z_2. On the conjugate BCs, the 4D components of $U(1)_C$ gauge boson have odd Z_2 parities and their zero modes are projected out through the dimensional reduction. Then, the $U(1)_C$ gauge symmetry is broken down by orbifolding. In contrast, the fifth component of $U(1)_C$ gauge boson has even Z_2 parities, and its zero mode $C_5^{(0)}$ survives and becomes a dynamical field. It is massless at the tree level, but after receiving radiative corrections, the effective potential relating to $C_5^{(0)}$ is induced and $C_5^{(0)}$ acquires a mass of $O(1/R)$ (R is the radius of S^1) and decouple to the low-energy theory if R is small enough. Matter fields transform into the charge conjugated ones under the Z_2 transformation, in case yielding the conjugate BCs. Then, only real fields such as real scalar fields and Majorana fermions appear after compactification.

We have shown that the separation of visible and hidden particles can be realized in gauge interactions using a 5D extension of the SM with an extra $U(1)$ gauge symmetry and an extra scalar field coexisting different types of BCs, and derived the Lagrangian density containing a dark matter in the NMSM. The zero mode of extra scalar field yielding the conjugate BCs becomes a possible candidate of dark matter.

Furthermore, we have applied a 5D gravity theory coupled to a $U(1)$ gauge theory with conjugate BCs on a gauge-Higgs inflation scenario and found that the effective potential containing the radion ϕ and Wilson line phase θ plays a role of an inflaton potential and θ becomes inflaton.

We have studied a model of dark matter and that of inflaton independently, to demonstrate the origin of such hidden particles clearly. We can combine them into a 5D gravity theory coupled to an extension of the SM (or grand unified theory) containing an extra $U(1)$ gauge boson C_M, an extra scalar field $\tilde{\varphi}$ and some extra fermions. In the combined theory, the zero mode of $\tilde{\varphi}$ becomes dark matter with $\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}} - \tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}\theta = 0$, and that of C_5 becomes inflaton with $\beta - \tilde{q}\theta = \pi$. Then, θ must satisfy $\theta = \beta_{\tilde{\varphi}}/\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}} = (\beta - \pi)/\tilde{q}$, and hence the problem of origin of hidden particles is replaced by that of the selection for twisted phases $(\beta_{\tilde{\varphi}}, \beta)$ and $U(1)$ charges $(\tilde{q}_{\tilde{\varphi}}, \tilde{q})$ to fix a suitable value of θ. It would be interesting to construct a more realistic model based on the combined one. To generate the state of Big Bang after the end of inflation, it is necessary to couple some SM particles to inflaton and it can be realized, if some SM particles have an exotic $U(1)$ charge and they are related to ones with an opposite charge under the Z_2 reflection.

Finally, we give a comment on the right-handed neutrinos. Because the right-handed neutrinos are singlets of the SM gauge group and they have Majorana masses, we guess that they might be hidden matters obeying conjugate BCs. But it is difficult to realize it, because we cannot construct a Z_2 invariant term in 5D Lagrangian density to derive the 4D Yukawa interaction relating to neutrinos, due to the mismatch of BCs between the SM non-singlets and singlets. Nevertheless, it would also be interesting to examine the origin of the right-handed neutrinos from the viewpoint of BCs.

Acknowledgments

Y. A. wishes to thank Iwanami Fu-Jukai for his Research grant and he would like to thank Prof. Katsushi Ito for his kind hospitality.

References

[1] A. Hebecker and J. March-Russell, Nucl. Phys. B **625**, 128 (2002).

[2] N. Haba, Y. Kawamura and K. Oda, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 085021 (2008).

[3] A. Ahmed, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, JHEP **1510**, 033 (2015).

[4] Y. Hosotani, Phys. Lett. B **126**, 309 (1983).

[5] Y. Hosotani, Ann. of Phys. **190**, 233 (1989).

[6] C. P. Burgess, M. Pospetov and T. ter Veldhuis, Nucl. Phys. B **619**, 709 (2001).

[7] H. Davoudiasl, R. Kitano, T. Li and H. Murayama, Phys. Lett. B **609**, 117 (2005).

[8] N. Haba, K Kanata and R. Takahashi, JHEP **1404**, 029 (2014).

[9] Y. Kawamura and T. Miura, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26**, 4405 (2011).

[10] Y. Kawamura and T. Miura, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **27**, 1250023 (2012).

[11] K. Kojima, K. Takenaga and T. Yamashita, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 051701(R) (2011).

[12] Y. Abe, T. Inami, Y. Kawamura and Y. Koyama, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2014**, 073B04 (2014).

[13] Y. Abe, T. Inami, Y. Kawamura and Y. Koyama, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2015**, 093B03 (2015).